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#### Abstract

We develop a new approach to the theory of finite crystallographic root systems in order to study the c-cluster complex and the c-Cambrian fan. This new approach stresses a more uniform and cleaner geometric approach over opaque combinatorial arguments. As an application, we vastly simplify the proofs of many known important results, and in the process prove new extensions of


 these results. This new approach will hopefully prove useful in gaining further insight on major unsolved problems in the theory of cluster algebras, in particular the frieze problem.
## Introduction <br> What are Finite Root Systems?

- A rankr crystallographic finite root system $\Phi$ [1] is a finite set of vectors in an $r$-dimensional real vector space $\mathfrak{b}^{*}$ with an inner product $\langle$,$\rangle such that$
$>\mathbb{R} \alpha \cap \Phi=\{ \pm \alpha\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$;
$>$ (crystallographic condition) for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, we have

$$
\left(\alpha^{\vee}, \beta\right):=\frac{2\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle}{\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

$>$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, the reflection $s_{\alpha} \beta:=\beta-\left(\alpha^{\vee}, \beta\right) \alpha \in \Phi$.
There exists a basis $\underline{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right)$ of simple roots for $\mathfrak{h}^{*}$ such that every root $\alpha \in \Phi$ can be expressed as $\alpha=\sum_{i} c_{i} \alpha_{i}$ where the $c_{i}$ are either all non-negative integers, or all non-positive integers.
Fixing a choice of simple roots, the fundamental weights $\underline{\omega}$ are a basis for $\mathfrak{b}^{*}$ which satisfy $\left(\alpha_{i}^{\vee}, \omega_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$.


The Weyl Group $W$ is the orthogonal group generated by the reflections $s_{\alpha}$. Elements of $W$ can be written as words $s_{j_{1}} s_{j_{2}} \cdots s_{j_{k}}$.
There is a unique longest element $w_{o}$ of length $|\Phi|$, in the sense that any other element of $W$ can be written as a product of (strictly) less than $|\Phi|$ simple reflections. It is an involution, and for each $i \in[1, r]$ $w_{o} \omega_{i}=-\omega_{i^{*}}$
for some $i^{*} \in[1, r]$.

- The fundamental chamber $\mathcal{D}$ is the cone generated by the fundamental weights. The Weyl group acting on $\mathcal{D}$ creates the Coxeter Fan of cones.



## All About Coxeter Elements

- A Coxeter element $c=s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \cdots s_{i_{r}}$ is a product of all simple reflections taken exactly once, in any order (i.e. $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$ is a permutation of $(1,2, \ldots, r)$ ) [1].
- This defines a partial order $\leqslant_{c}$ on [1,r], where $i<_{c} j$ iff $i$ occurs before $j$ in every permutation of $[1, r]$ that gives the same value of $c$. [2]
- It is known that 1 is not an eigenvalue of $c$, i.e. $(1-c): \mathfrak{h}^{*} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ is invertible. - The fundamental c-roots are the roots given by

$$
\beta_{i ; c}:=(1-c) \omega_{i}
$$

- For any root $\alpha \in \Phi$, there exists a unique smallest $b_{c}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and a unique $i_{c}(\alpha) \in[1, r]$ such that $\alpha=c^{b_{c}(\alpha)} \beta_{i_{c}(\alpha) ; c}[2]$. Here, $b_{c}(\alpha)$ is called the block number, and $i_{c}(\alpha)$ the orbit index, of $\alpha$.


## The $c$-Cluster Complex

- Fix a reduced word $\underline{c}$ for the Coxeter element $c$ (i.e. fix a permutation of [1, $r]$ ). This fixes a reduced word $\boldsymbol{w}_{o}(\underline{c})$ for $w_{o}$, called the $\underline{c}$-sorting word for $w_{0}$. Let

$$
\underline{c} \boldsymbol{W}_{\boldsymbol{o}}(\underline{c})=\left(s_{i_{1}}, s_{i_{2}}, \ldots, s_{i_{d}}\right) .
$$

- A subset $K=\left\{k_{1}<\cdots<k_{r}\right\} \subset[1, d]$ is called a facet if
$s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \cdots \widehat{s_{i_{k_{1}}}} \cdots \widehat{s_{i_{k_{r}}}} \cdots s_{d}=w_{o}$.
- Define an abstract simplicial complex structure on $[1, d]$ by taking the collection of all subsets of every facet. This is the $c w_{o}(c)$ sub-word complex (or $c$-cluster complex) [3].
- For each $k \in[1, d]$, set $\omega_{k}^{\frac{c}{c}}=$ $s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}} \omega_{i_{k}}$. Then, for each facet $K$, we have the set of $r$ vectors $\left\{\omega_{k}^{\frac{c}{c}}: k \in K\right\}$. It is known that this is a basis for $\mathfrak{b}^{*}$.

- Let $C_{K}$ be the cone generated by $\left\{\omega_{k}^{\frac{c}{c}}: k \in K\right\}$. The collection of all cones $C_{K}$ as $K$ runs through all facets generates the $c$-Cambrian Fan [3].


## Results

We fix a Coxeter element $c$ throughout.
Definition: $c$-Order on $\Phi$.
Given two roots $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, we say that $\alpha \leq_{c} \beta$ iff either
$b_{c}(\alpha)<b_{c}(\beta)$, or $b_{c}(\alpha)=b_{c}(\beta)$ and $i_{c}(\alpha) \preccurlyeq_{c} i_{c}(\beta)$.
Lemma: For any reduced word for $\underline{c}$, if $\beta_{k}^{\underline{c}} \leq_{c} \beta_{k^{\prime}}^{\underline{c}}$ then $k<k^{\prime}$, where

$$
\beta_{k}^{\frac{c}{c}}:=s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}} \alpha_{i_{k}}
$$

with $\underline{c} \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{o}}(\underline{c})=\left(s_{i_{1}}, s_{i_{2}}, \ldots, s_{i_{d}}\right)$.
Recall the definition $\omega_{k}^{\frac{c}{c}}=s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}} \omega_{i_{k}}$ (given a reduced word). It is known that $\beta_{k}^{\frac{c}{c}}=(1-c) \omega_{k}^{\frac{c}{f}}$ for all $k \in[1, d]$.
Theorem 1: Suppose $\beta, \beta^{\prime} \in \Phi(c)$ are such that either both $\beta, \beta^{\prime} \in \Phi^{+}$, or both
$c^{-1} \beta, c^{-1} \beta^{\prime} \in \Phi^{+}$, and set $\omega:=(1-c)^{-1} \beta$ and $\omega^{\prime}:=(1-c)^{-1} \beta^{\prime}$. Define

$$
p:=\left(\beta^{\vee}, \omega^{\prime}\right) \text { and } \quad p^{\prime}:=\left(\beta^{\wedge}, \omega\right)
$$

Then, the following four statements hold:

1) $p p^{\prime} \leq 0$, i. e. $p, p^{\prime}$ have opposite sign (or at least one of them is zero).
2)If $\beta<{ }_{c} \beta^{\prime}$, then $p \leq 0 \leq p^{\prime}$.
2) If $\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) \neq(0,0)$, then $\beta<_{c} \beta^{\prime}$ if and only if $p \leq 0 \leq p^{\prime}$.
3) We have

$$
\langle\beta, \beta\rangle p+\left\langle\beta^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle p^{\prime}=2\left\langle\beta, \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

Consequently, $\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ if $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ are not orthogonal.
As examples to showcase our new approach, we provide one new definition, one new result, and one extension of a previously known result.
Lemma-Definition: Given any $B \subset \Phi(c)$, we define the ordered product

$$
\Pi_{c}(B):=s_{\beta_{1}} \cdots s_{\beta_{n}}
$$

where $B=\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right\}$ is any ordering on $B$ such that for any $i<j$, either $\beta_{i}$ and $\beta_{j}$ are orthogonal, or $\beta_{i}<_{c} \beta_{j}$.
The ordered product allows us to define an abstract simplicial complex structure on $\Phi(c)$, by declaring $B \subset \Phi(c)$ to be a facet iff $|B|=r$ and $\Pi_{c}(B)=$ $c^{-1}$.
This coincides with the previous notion of a facet, by the correspondence

$$
K \subset[1, d] \leftrightarrow B_{K}:=\left\{\beta_{k}^{\frac{c}{c}}: k \in K\right\} .
$$

- Now, for any $w \in W$, suppose $\underline{w}=\left(s_{j_{1}}, \cdots, s_{j_{\ell}}\right)$, and let $\beta_{t ; \underline{w}}:=s_{j_{1}} \cdots s_{j_{t-1}} \alpha_{j_{t}}$. Then, $\operatorname{inv}(w):=\left\{\beta_{t ; \underline{w}}: t \in[1, \ell]\right\}=\Phi^{+} \cap w \Phi^{-}$
is the set of all positive roots sent to negative roots by $w^{-1}$ [1].
- It is known that for any $w \in W$, there exists a unique facet $B \subset \Phi(c)$ such that $w \mathcal{D} \subseteq C_{B}$. Moreover, given a facet $B$, there exists a unique $w \in W$ with minimal length satisfying $w \mathcal{D} \subseteq C_{B}[3]$. Such an element is called $c$-sortable.
Theorem (Characterization of c-Sortable Elements): An element $w \in W$ is $c$-sortable iff for each $t, t^{\prime} \in[1, \ell]$ with $t<t^{\prime}$, either $\beta_{t ; \underline{w}}$ and $\beta_{t^{\prime} ; \underline{w}}$ are orthogonal, or $\beta_{t ; \underline{w}}<_{c} \beta_{t^{\prime} ; \underline{w}}$, where $\underline{w}$ is the $\underline{c}$-sorting word of $w$. Moreover, if either condition holds, then $\Pi_{c}(\operatorname{inv}(w))=w^{-1}$.
Theorem (Sign-Coherence): Suppose $B \subset \Phi(c)$ is a facet, and let $C_{B}$ be the cone generated by $(1-c)^{-1} \beta$ for $\beta \in B$. Then, (it is known that) for each $i \in$ [1, r], each cone $C_{B}$ lies completely in one of the two right half spaces
$H_{\alpha_{i}}^{+}:=\left\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}:\left(\alpha_{i}^{v}, \lambda\right) \geq 0\right\}$ or $H_{\alpha_{i}}^{-}:=\left\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}:\left(\alpha_{i}^{v}, \lambda\right) \leq 0\right\} ;$
i.e. either $C_{B} \subset H_{\alpha_{i}}^{+}$or $C_{B} \subset H_{\alpha_{i}}^{-}[4]$.

Moreover, for fixed $i \in[1, r]$, we have:

- if $C_{B} \subset H_{\alpha_{i}}^{+}$, then $C_{B} \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \alpha_{i}\right)^{\perp}$ contains the (possibly trivial) cone spanned by $\left\{(1-c)^{-1} \beta: \beta \in B, \alpha_{i} \Psi_{c} \beta\right\}$
- if $C_{B} \subset H_{\alpha_{i}}^{-}$, then $C_{B} \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \alpha_{i}\right)^{\perp}$ contains the (possibly trivial) cone spanned by $\left\{(1-c)^{-1} \beta: \beta \in B, \alpha_{i} \not ¥_{c} \beta\right\}$


## Significance

- Theorem 1 provides an easy method to compare two roots under the $c$-order. This also allows us to reduce the proof of many results (both known as well as new) into a direct computation involving inner products.
- The $c$-order allows us to give more intrinsic definitions for important structures, thus avoiding some of the combinatorial book-keeping.
- Our proofs avoid opaque inductive arguments on reduced words of $c$.
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